

Plant Archives

Journal homepage: http://www.plantarchives.org DOI Url : https://doi.org/10.51470/PLANTARCHIVES.2025.v25.no.1.109

SCREENING AND EVALUATION OF SOLANUM SPECIES TO OBSERVE POTENTIAL ROOTSTOCKS FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF MELOIDOGYNE INCOGNITA IN TOMATO

Nikita Das^{1*}, Bhabesh Bhagawati¹, Nibedita Borgohain¹, Dipankar Haloi² and Uday Kurulkar¹

¹Department of Nematology, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat, Assam, India. ²Department of Plant Pathology, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat, Assam, India. *Corresponding author E-mail:nikitadaswork@gmail.com (Date of Receiving- 08-12-2024; Date of Acceptance-03-03-2024)

The study was carried out to evaluate the responses of different Solanum species to Meloidogyne incognita under pot conditions and to identify resistant rootstock(s) for the management of M. incognita in tomato by employing grafting technique. Twelve Solanum species were screened against M. incognita at the net house of Department of Nematology, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat Assam. The observation on number of galls and egg masses in roots and nematode population per pot were recorded after 45 days of inoculation of M. incognita. These data were further used to calculate the root knot index (RKI), responses of various Solanum spp. and nematode reproduction rate. All the Solanum species showed varying degree of responses to M. incognita whereas S. viarum was found to be susceptible against M. incognita and the remaining species were highly susceptible to M. incognita., S. torvum and S. lycopersicum cv. Hisar Lalit showed the lowest number of galls per root system, egg masses per root system and final nematode population in soil per pot. On the other hand, S. lycopersicum cv. S-22 showed the highest number of galls per root system and final nematode population in soil per pot. Utilizing resistant cultivars is an economically efficient method for managing plant parasitic nematodes and theseresistant rootstocks can be used in tomato grafting technology for the management of M. incognita.

Key words: Screening, Solanum spp., Meloidogyne incognita, Resistance, Tomato

Introduction

Tomato, scientifically known as *Solanum lycopersicum* L., is a widely cultivated crop and a member of the Solanaceae family which encompasses more than 4000 species exhibiting significant morphological variations (Singh *et al.*, 2017). Tomato is globally considered as the second most important fruit as well as vegetable crop after potato (Costa and Heuvelink, 2018). Tomatoes are recognized as vegetables for nutritional purposes and are highly valued for their abundant lycopene and vitamin C content. (Wu *et al.*, 2022).

Numerous pests attack tomatoes, wreaking havoc on their leaves, stems, fruits and roots (Khulbeand Batra, 2024). One of the most devastating pests is the root-knot nematode, which has been considered to be the cause of significant yield reductions around the globe (Ghule *et al.*, 2014; Onkendi *et al.*, 2014; Tapia-Vázquez *et al.*, 2022). Root-knot nematode poses a significant threat to solanaceouscrops, as it inflicts harm by feeding on them and prompting the formation of large galls or "knots" throughout the root system of infested plants (Seid *et al.*, 2015). This interference can disrupt the plants' ability to uptake water and nutrients, leading to a substantial impact on the translocation of photosynthates (Anwar *et al.*, 2010).

To mitigate nematode infestations and minimize production setbacks, chemical nematicides have been widely employed as a common strategy. However, these substances continue to have a deleterious influence on flora and fauna contributing to health hazards and environmental contamination (Kumar *et al.*, 2023) The adoption of resistant cultivars is one of the most efficient and environmentally benign way to control root knot nematode among non-chemical methods (Mukhtar *et al.*, 2014; Forghani and Hajihassani, 2020). However, there are meagre resistant varieties available that can be effectively grown to combat this destructive pest on a global scale (Saucet *et al.*, 2016).

In light of these considerations, the present investigation was proposed to identify the rootstocks that are resistant to *Meloidogyne incognita* by screening of a few *Solanum* species against *M. incognita* for further studies.

Materials and Methods

Experimental Procedure

During the year, 2022, a pot culture experiment was conducted at the net house of Department of Nematology, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat, to evaluate the responses of twelve Solanum species against M. incognita. Four wild Solanum species viz., Solanum torvum, S. violaceum, S. pimpinellifolium and S. viarum and eight S. lycopersicum cultivars viz., Hisar Lalit, Miku (TO-2184), Arka Samrat, Dona-55, S-22, Pusa Ruby, S-3410 and BSS-422 were used for screening against M. incognita. The seeds of Solanum species viz., S. torvum, S. violaceum, S. pimpinellifolium, S. viarum were obtained from the Department of Horticulture, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat. The S. lycopersicum cv., Hisar Lalit was collected from Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar and the other tomato cultivars were procured from local markets of Jorhat. To promote optimal and early germination, the seeds were soaked inGA, solution @ 100 ppm for 24 hours prior to sowing in plastic pro-trays containing mixture of coco-peat and vermicompost in a ratio of 1:1. After 21 days of germination, the seedlings were transplanted into earthen pots with a soil capacity of 1000 cc and containing mixture of sterilized soil, dried cow dung and river sand in the ratio of 2:1:1 under net house conditions.

Nematode Inoculation

The tomato seedlings grown in sterilized soil-filled pots were inoculated with a precise number of freshly hatched second stage juveniles (J_2) of *M. incognita* obtained from a pure culture on three days of transplanting. The nematode inoculum (J_2) was inoculated at a depth of 1 cm near rhizosphere (root zone) and again covered with sterile soil after inoculation. The rate of inoculation was maintained at 1 J₂ per cubic centimetre (cc) of soil.

Treatment Details

The experiment was laid in completely randomized design (CRD) with 12 treatments and 5 replications (Table 1).

Table 1:	Different treatments.

Treatment	Treatment Details	
T_1	Solaumtorvum	
T_2	S. violaceum	
T_3	S. pimpinellifolium	
T_4	Hisar Lalit	
T_5	S. viarum	
T ₆	Miku (TO-2184)	
T_7	Dona-55	
T_8	S-22	
T,	S-3410	
T ₁₀	Arka Samrat	
T ₁₁	BSS-422	
T ₁₂	Pusa Ruby	
The S. lycopersicum cv. Pusa Ruby was used as susceptible check (SC).		

Screening and evaluation of *Solanum* spp. against *Meloidogyne incognita*

Plants were uprooted carefully after 45 days of inoculation and the roots were washed gently to make them free from any soil particle. The records of observations such as number of galls per root system, egg masses per root system and final nematode population in soil were kept. Root knot index (0-5 scale) was calculated for each plant based on the number of galls per root system as provided bySasser *et al.*, 1984 (Table 2). The resistance/susceptibility of the plants to *M. incognita* was recorded based on the root knot index.

Results and Discussion

The Solanum species exhibited varied responses to *M. incognita* encompassing both resistance and susceptibility. Among the species screened against *M. incognita*, *S. torvum* (7.00, 5.20) and *S. lycopersicum cv.* Hisar Lalit (7.20, 5.80) showed the lowest number of galls and egg masses. Conversely, *S. lycopersicum cv.* S-22 exhibited the highest number of galls (190.20) and egg masses (69.60) (Table 3). Collonier *et al.*, (2001), Kashyap *et al.*, (2003), Tzortzakakis *et al.*, (2006), Sherly (2010) Sargin and Devran (2021) and Polimera *et al.* (2022) also reported resistance reaction of *S. torvum* against *M. incognita.* Kalaiarasan (2009) conducted an experiment taking forty-two tomato genotypes/varieties to determine their resistance against *M. incognita.* Notably, Hisar Lalit exhibited a remarkable resistance

Table 2: Root knot index scale (Sasser *et al.*, 1984).

Gall index	No. of galls/ root system	Resistance reaction
1	Nogalls	Highly resistant
2	1-10 galls	Resistant
3	11-30 galls	Moderately resistant
4	31-100 galls	Susceptible
5	>100 galls	Highly susceptible

Table 3:	Responses of different Solanum	spp.	against
	Meloidogyne incognita.		

SI. No	Solanum spp.	No. of galls per root system	No. of egg masses per root system	RKI	Rea- ction
1.	S. torvum	7.00	5.20	2.00	R
2.	S. violaceum	109.40	25.80	5.00	HS
3.	S. pimpinellifolium	94.40	37.60	4.50	HS
4.	Hisar Lalit	7.20	5.80	2.00	R
5.	S. viarum	59.80	12.40	4.00	S
6.	Miku (TO-2184)	94.60	36.40	4.50	HS
7.	Dona-55	170.80	48.40	5.00	HS
8.	S-22	190.20	69.60	5.00	HS
9.	S-3410	150.40	40.20	5.00	HS
10.	Arka Samrat	149.80	40.80	5.00	HS
11.	BSS-422	174.20	41.60	5.00	HS
12.	Pusa Ruby	127.40	58.40	5.00	HS
	S.Ed(±)	0.08	0.09	-	-
	CD _{0.05}	0.16	0.17	-	-

response showing the lowest number of galls per plant (<10 galls/plant). Additionally, the study revealed that *S. lycopersicum cv.* Hisar Lalit contained a higher phenol activity (516.4) as compared to the other genotypes that were inoculated with *M. incognita*. Brow *et al.*, (1997); Ehlers *et al.*, (2002); Jacquet *et al.*, (2005) and Kamran *et al.*, (2012) screened *Solanum* species against *M. incognita* and found that the nematode was capable of inducing root galling on all the *Solanum* species, albeit at different rates. These variations in gall formation could be attributed to dissimilarities in the genetic makeup of the *Solanum* species.

Among the twelve Solanum spp., S. torvum and S. lycopersicum cv. Hisar Lalit showed resistant response (RKI 2), while S. viarum showed susceptible reaction (RKI 4) to *M. incognita*. The remaining varieties were recorded as highly susceptible (RKI 5) (Table 3). Dhivya et al., (2016) examined various Solanum species for their responses to M. incognita and confirmed that S. torvum and S. sisymbriifolium exhibited resistant reactions to M. incognita, while S. viarum showed susceptible reaction and S. violaceum showed highly susceptible reaction against M. incognita. These findings align with and further corroborate the results obtained in the current investigation. Furthermore, it was concluded that wild Solanum species exhibit higher levels of disease-resistant enzymes such as peroxidase, polyphenol oxidase, phenylalanine ammonia lyase and acid phosphatase activity, which contribute to their resistance against M. incognita (Dhivya et al., 2016).

The findings indicate that S-22 showed the highest number of final nematode population per pot (2292.80)

Table 4: Final nematode population and rate of reproductionof Meloidogyne incognita in different species of
Solanum.

SI. No	<i>Solanum</i> spp.	Final nematode population in soil per pot	Rate of repro- duction
1.	S. torvum	425.80	0.43
2.	S. violaceum	1483.20	1.48
3.	S. pimpinellifolium	1557.40	1.56
4.	Hisar Lalit	466.80	0.47
5.	S. viarum	1024.80	1.02
6.	Miku (TO-2184)	2137.60	2.14
7.	Dona-55	2201.20	2.20
8.	S-22	2292.80	2.29
9.	S-3410	2156.20	2.16
10.	Arka Samrat	1827.60	1.83
11.	BSS-422	1874.20	1.87
12.	Pusa Ruby	2147.60	2.15
	S.Ed (±)	0.02	-
	CD _{0.05}	0.04	-

and reproduction rate (2.29). On the other hand, *S. torvum* (425.80, 0.43) and Hisar Lalit (466.80, 0.47) showed lowest number of final nematode population and reproduction rate (Table 4). Oostenbrink (1966) and Seinhorst (1967) reported that plants with higher reproduction rates serve as favourable hosts for nematodes, while those with lower reproduction rates are less conducive hosts. In a separate study by Sujatha *et al.*, (2017), forty tomato genotypes were screened against *M. incognita* to study their host responses where Hisar Lalit, HN 2, PNR 7, IIHR 2614, and IIHR 2868 were reported to be resistant to *M. incognita*.

Conclusion

With the changing pest management approaches, there is a progressive shift away from chemical towards non-chemical methods, driven by apprehensions regarding chemical toxicity and environmental hazards. To address this, the study focused on identifying *Solanum* rootstocks that exhibit resistance to *M. incognita* as potential means of managing the root knot disease on tomatoes. This study indicated that the evaluation of *Solanum* rootstocks showed a significant variation in response to *M. incognita*. To effectively manage root-knot nematodes, resistant germplasms or cultivars of *Solanum* spp. can be used as rootstocks and grafted with scions of suitable and widely approved tomato varieties. This could prove to be useful crop management tool in mitigating the harmful effects of root knot nematodes on tomato.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat for providing infrastructural facilities during the experiment.

References

- Anwar, S.A. and Mckenry M.V. (2010). Incidence and reproduction of *Meloidogyne incognita* on vegetable crop genotypes. *Pakistan. J. Zool.*, **42**, 135-141 (2010).
- Brow, C.R., Mojtahedi H., Santo G.S. and Williamson V.M. (1997). The effect of the *Mi* gene in tomato on reproductive factors of *Meloidogyne chitwoodi* and *M. hapla. J. Nematol.*, **29**, 416-419.
- Collonier, C., Fock I., Kashyap V., Rotino GL., Daunay M.C., Lian Y., Mariska I.K., Rajam M.V., Sarvas A., Ducreu X.G and Sihachakr D. (2001). Applications of biotechnology in eggplant. *Plant Cell, Tiss. & Org. Cult.*, 65, 91-107.
- Costa, J.M. and Heuvelink E.P. (2018). The global tomato industry. *Tomatoes*, **27**, 1-26.
- Dhivya, R., Sadasakthi A. and Sivakumar M. (2016). Reaction of wild *Solanum* rootstocks and tomato scions against root knot nematode (*Meloidogyne incognita* Kofoid and White). *Adv. Res. J. Crop Improv.*, **7(1)**, 10-13.
- Ehlers, J.D., Matthews W.C., Hall A.E. and Roberts P.A. (2002).
 Breeding and evaluation of cowpeas with high levels of broad-based resistance to root-knot nematodes. In: *Challenges and opportunities for enhancing sustainable cowpea production* (eds. Fatokun, C.A.; Tarawali, S.A.; Singh, B.B.; Kormawa, P.M. and Tamo, M.). Proc. of the world cowpea Conf. III held at the *Inter. Inst. of Tropical Agric. (IITA)*, Nigeria, Ibadan, 41-51.
- Forghani, F. and Hajihassani A. (2020). Recent advances in the development of environmentally benign treatments to control root-knot nematodes. *Frontiers in Plant Sci.*, **11**, 557202.
- Ghule, T.M., Singh A. and Khan M.R. (2014). Root knot nematodes: threat to Indian agriculture. *Popular Kheti*, **2(3)**, 126-130.
- Jacquet, M., Bongiovanni M., Martinez M., Verschave P., Wajnberg E. and Castagnonesereno P. (2005). Variation in resistance to the root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne incognita* in tomato genotypes bearing the *Mi* gene. *Pl. Pathol.* 54(2), 93-99.
- Kalaiarasan, P. (2009). Biochemical markers for identification of root knot nematode (*Meloidogyne incognita*) resistance in tomato. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, 22(3), 471-475.
- Kamran, M., Anwar S.A., Javed N., Khan S.A., Imran ul Haq and Ullah I. (2012). Field evaluation of tomato genotypes for resistance to *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Pakistan J. Zool.*, 44(5), 1355-1359.
- Kashyap, V., Kumar S.V., Collonier C., Fusari F., Haicour R., Rotino G.L., Sihachakr D. and Rajam M.V. (2003). Biotechnology of eggplant. *Sci. Horti.*, **97**, 1-25.
- Khulbe, A. and Batra P. (2024). Insect-Pests and Diseases in Greenhouse Cultivation and Their Biological Control. In: *Protected Cultivation* (217-254). Apple Academic Press.
- Kumar, A., Karn S.K. and Hung Y.T. (2023). Chemicals Used in Agriculture: Hazards and Associated Toxicity Issues: Hazards and Toxicity of Agrochemicals. In: Waste Treatment in the Biotechnology, Agricultural and Food Industries: Volume 2 (185-198). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Mukhtar, T., Hussain M.A., Kayani M.Z. and Aslam M.N. (2014). Evaluation of resistance to root-knot nematode

(Meloidogyne incognita) in okra cultivars. Crop Protection, **56**, 25-30.

- Onkendi, E.M., Kariuki G.M., Marais M. and Moleleki L.N. (2014). The threat of root knot nematodes (*Meloidogyne* spp.) in Africa: a review. *Pl. pathol.*, **63**(4), 727-737.
- Oostenbrink, M. (1966). Major characteristics of the relation between nematodes and plants. In *Proceedings of the* 8th International Symposium of nematology, Antibes, France, 8-14.
- Polimera, P., Bhagawati B., Kurulkar U., Gogoi S. and Borah P.K. (2022). Grafting for the Management of *Meloidogyne incognita* in Brinjal. *Indian J. Nematol.*, **52(2)**, 141-148.
- Sargin, S. and Devran Z. (2021). Degree of resistance of Solanumtorvum cultivars to Mi-1.2-virulent and avirulent isolates of Meloidogyne incognita, Meloidogyne javanica and Meloidogyne luci. J. Nematol., 53(1), 1-7.
- Sasser, J.N., Carter C.C. and Hartman K.M. (1984). Standardization of host suitability studies and reporting of resistance to root-knot nematodes, A Co-operative publication of North Carolina State University, Department of Plant pathology and USAID, Raleigh, North Carolina, USA.
- Saucet, S.B., Van Ghelder C., Abad P., Duval H. and Esmenjaud D. (2016). Resistance to root knot nematodes *Meloidogyne* spp. in woody plants. *New Phytologist*, **211**(1), 41-56.
- Seid, A., Fininsa C., Mekete T., Decraemer W. and Wesemael W.M. (2015). Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) and rootknot nematodes (*Meloidogyne spp.*)–a century-old battle. *Nematol.*, **17(9)**, 995-1009.
- Seinhorst, J.W. (1967). The relationships between population increase and population density in plant parasitic nematodes. III. Definition of the terms host, host status and resistance. IV. The influence of external conditions on the regulation of population density. *Nematologica*, 13(3), 429-442.
- Sherly, J. (2010). Studies on grafting of brinjal accessions (*Solanum melongena* L.) with wild *Solanum* rootstocks. Ph.D. (Hort.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.
- Singh, H., Kumar P., Chaudhari S. and Edelstein M. (2017). Tomato grafting: a global perspective. *Hort. Sci.*, 52(10), 1328-1336.
- Sujatha, R., Irene Vethamoni P., Manivanna N. and Sivakumar M. (2017). Screening of tomato genotypes for root knot nematode (*Meloidogyne incognita* Kofoid and White Chitwood). *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 6(3), 1525-1533.
- Tapia-Vázquez, I., Montoya-Martínez A.C., De los Santos-Villalobos S., Ek-Ramos M.J., Montesinos-Matías R. and Martínez-Anaya C. (2022). Root-knot nematodes (*Meloidogyne* spp.) a threat to agriculture in Mexico: Biology, current control strategies, and perspectives. World J. of Microbiology and Biotech., 38(2), 26.
- Tzortzakakis, E.A., Bletsos F.A. and Avgelis A.D. (2006). Evaluation of *Solanum* rootstock accessions for control of root-knot nematodes and tobamoviruses. *J. Plant Dis. Prot.*, **113(4)**, 188-189.
- Wu, X., Yu L. and Pehrsson P.R. (2022). Are processed tomato products as nutritious as fresh tomatoes? Scoping review on the effects of industrial processing on nutrients and bioactive compounds in tomatoes. *Adv. in Nutrition*, **13**(1), 138-151.